SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

Case 2:12-cv-05312-MAM Document 1 Filed 09/17/12 Page 1 of 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
ALFRED FREEMAN			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	P.C.	County of Residence NOTE: IN LAN LAND Attorneys (If Known) III. CITIZENSHIP OF I (For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State Citizen of Another State	of First Listed Defendant ND CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
IV NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country			
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property 		ry - trice y - trice trice y - trice t	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
又 1 Original □ 2 Re	Fair Debt Collection Practices CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	Reopened anoth (spectar another) are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction) Act	nal statutes unless diversity):	Magnitate Judgment if demanded in complaint:	
Explanation:	JUDGE	OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER		

09/17/2012 /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ALFRED FREEMAN		CIVIL ACTION	
v. NCO FINANCIAL SYST	: : : : : : :	NO.	
claintiff shall complete a Ciling the complaint and se side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant plaintiff and all other parties that defendant believes the	Case Management Track I rve a copy on all defendant a event that a defendant at shall, with its first appeares, a Case Management Trace case should be assigned.	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, cound Designation Form in all civil cases at the tast. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the address not agree with the plaintiff regardinance, submit to the clerk of court and serve ck Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ag said on the
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	s brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()
· /	s requesting review of a deenying plaintiff Social Sec	ecision of the Secretary of Health curity Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases red	quired to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for personal i	njury or property damage from	()
commonly referred to	as complex and that need	o tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management by tailed explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Management	– Cases that do not fall in	to any one of the other tracks.	()
09/17/2012 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, Alfred Freeman Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Felephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	kimmel@creditlaw.com F. Mail Address	

Case 2:12-cv-05312-MAM Document 1 Filed 09/17/12 Page 3 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: 300 Tide Water Circle, Pre	eston MD aluss,			
Address of Defendant: 501 Prudential Rd., 110	rsnam PA 19044			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	_ * 🛫			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Date Terminated;			
Case Number: Judge	Date 1 chimated,			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes No			
action in this court?	the politicing of within one year proviously estimated			
2. Describing the soliding of the soliding and the soliding and the soliding of the soliding o	Yes No.			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n terminated action in this court?	umbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No Yes□ No			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□ NoD			
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury			
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 5 V.S.C. \$ 1092				
ARBITRATION CERTS (Check Appropriate Ca , counsel of record do hereby certif	tegory)			
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and l				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	,			
1	MAI ETINA			
DATE: 09/17/12 /S/CYOIGTNOYKIW				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
l certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.				
DATE: 09 17 12 /S/CHOIGTHONKIM	mel 51100			
Attornet/salt aw	Attorney I.D #			

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 ALFRED FREEMAN, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 7 **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 ALFRED FREEMAN ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 17 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, 18 deceptive, and unfair practices. 19 20 21 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 22 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 23 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 24 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 25 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. - 1 -

- 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Preston, Maryland 21655.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. <u>See</u> 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a consumer debt of another person.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in February 2012, and continuing through August 2012, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone in its attempts to collect a debt of another person, specifically a person it identified as "Michelle."

- 13. Plaintiff knew it was Defendant calling because he received numerous automated messages, wherein Defendant identified itself as "NCO Financial Systems."
- 14. Plaintiff has spoken with Defendant's collectors who have identified themselves as calling from "NCO Financial Systems."
- 15. Defendant called on average, one (1) to two (2) times a day, on multiple days each week during February 2012 through August 2012. In those instances when Plaintiff spoke with its collectors, they routinely stated they were trying to reach an individual named "Michelle".
- 16. No one named "Michelle" lives at or takes calls using Plaintiff's phone, and that information was shared with Defendant's collectors. Further, Defendant was told more than once, to stop calling and to remove his number from the NCO Financial database. Defendant's collectors acknowledged Plaintiff's instructions and promised to both stop calling and to remove his number from their database. However, Defendant failed to effectively update its records or provided Plaintiff with false promises of doing so, as the unwanted calls continued.
- 17. Defendant had no reason to believe that the information provided by Plaintiff was incomplete or erroneous.
- 18. Defendant persisted in placing voice messages on Plaintiff's answering machine stating, "This is NCO Financial. We are a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. This message is for Michelle...Please return our call...[sic]."
- 19. After having substantive conversations with Plaintiff as stated above, there was no legitimate business purpose to continue calling, except to annoy, abuse and harass a known third party to collect a debt which it knew was not his.
 - 20. Defendant most recently called Plaintiff on August 4, 2012.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 24. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(3).
 - a. Section 1692b(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with any person other than a consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person or unless the debt collector reasonably believes that the earlier response of such person is erroneous or incomplete and that such person now has correct or complete location information.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff more than once about another person's debt, despite having been notified that it was calling the wrong person and that Plaintiff did not want to receive its collection calls.

COUNT II

- 25. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the

called number.

c. Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff approximately twice a day, including weekends, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, as Plaintiff had informed Defendant on more than one occasion it was calling the wrong person.

COUNT III

- 26. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f.
 - a. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by failing to update its records to avoid the further harassment of Plaintiff after having been told it was calling the wrong person, as well as claiming that it would remove Plaintiff's number from his call logs, when it had no intention to do so, as evidenced by its continued calls to Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ALFRED FREEMAN, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A);

	.I
1	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
2	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
3	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
4	
5	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
6	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ALFRED FREEMAN, demands a jury trial in
7	
8	this case.
9	
10	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
11	DATED: 09/17/2012 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.
12	By: /s/ Craig Thor KimmelCRAIG THOR KIMMEL
13	PA Attorney Id. No. 57100 30 E. Butler Pike
14	Ambler, PA 19002
15	Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116 Fax: (877) 788-2864
16	Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	